

A Struggle With Titans page:

248 US dentists order reprints of
fluoridation research

Fluoridation and Truth Decay pages:

99 Dr Galagan advises Australians to avoid referenda

The Fluoride Question pages:

22 Devonport, Tasmania forced to fluoridate
61 analysis of Tasmania Dept of Health dental
statistics by Prof John Polya
67 fluorides removed from Poisons List at time of
fluoridation in Tasmania

Fluoridation 1979:

Scientific Criticisms and Fluoride Dangers pages:

18 Australian endorsements of fluoridation
58 support for, and opposition to fluoridation by
Australian medical and dental graduates
100 the unknown prevalence of dental
fluorosis in Victoria
161 the softness of Melbourne water
201 recent complaints in Victoria of
intolerance to fluoridated water
258 fluoridation plant at Prosperine
267 death in Australia from fluoride tablets

Scientific Criticisms and Fluoride Dangers continued:

- 268 sale of fluoride tablets in Australia
274-5 aluminium smelters planned for Victoria
282 air pollution over Melbourne and Geelong

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer pages:

- 7-12 introduction
18 Professor Noel Martin
false claims of WHO endorsement prior to 1969
false endorsement claim in
Australian House of Representatives
fluoridation of Australian Capital Territory announced,
not voted upon by Parliament
19 commencement of fluoridation in Beaconsfield, 1953
promotion by NSW Health Minister, W F Sheahan
19 retreat of Tenterfield, NSW council
19 City Council restrained by Supreme Court writ and a
court decision in Sale, Victoria
19 Sydney water authority blocks fluoridation with
legal, technical and administrative delays
19 opposition in Victoria and Queensland
strong lobby delays fluoridation of Melbourne
20 [table 1.1] numbers using artificially fluoridated water
in Australian Territories as at June 1982
20-1 campaigns for fluoridation in
21 National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia)
21 NHMRC opposes debate or referenda
21 no answer to questions about fluoride origins,
impurities and effects in Medical Journal of Australia

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

- 21 1954 Australian Medical Association/Australian Dental
Association endorsement of fluoridation
- 22 associate professor of politics copies pamphlet
warning by Director General of Public Health in NSW
on mottling and digestive disturbances
campaigns to promote fluoridation, quash doubts and
discredit opponents
- 22 Dental Health Education & Research Foundation (Aus)
DHERF promotion in NSW
- 22 Victorian Association for Fluoridation and Dental Health
proponents outnumbered, outvoted at
undemocratic inaugural VAFDH meeting
- 22-3
- 23 career of Professor Noel Martin
Professor Noel Martin and WHO endorsement
- 23-4 government inquiries in Tasmania and Victoria
- 24 visiting "experts"
- 24 denigration of opponents as a promotional technique
- 25 visit of Dr F J Stare
denigration of opponents by Dr H Hillenbrand
visits of Dr D Burk and Dr J Yiamouyiannis
- 26 proponents accuse Australian Broadcasting Commission of
bias after "Four Corners" documentary
cessation of fluoridation in Wodonga and Gold Coast
- 26 referendum in Shoalhaven, NSW
- 26-27 debate on cancer—nobody knows
- 27 benefits better broadcast than actually established
- 27-8 no agreement on mechanism of anti-carries action
- 28 "50% increase" in carries-free children in Bacchus Marsh
turns out to mean three children instead of two
- 28-9 Tasmanian Dept of Health pamphlet's comparison of
decay levels criticised by Dr J Polya

31-2 relevance of North American fluoridation trials to Australia

32 flaws in N American studies replicated in Australia

32-33 data omitted in Sydney and Bunbury-Bussleton study

no controls in most Australian fluoride-carries studies

33 "no scientific evidence to link the reported drop in tooth decay with fluoridation"

34 headlines claiming various percentage reductions in decay

35 NSW Health Commission's northern Sydney survey

36 promoters have no time for large-scale epidemiological studies on the question of safety of fluoridation

36 submission by NHMRC on Burk-Yiamouyiannis study to Victorian Inquiry

36-7 report of Director General of Health says health in N American trials was monitored for several years

37 CSIRO scientists did not find relationship between fluoridation and cancer, Moree Council told

38 1968 Tasmanian report explains away endemic skeletal fluorosis in India, Arabia, China, Algeria and S Africa by discussing these under a separate heading

39 NHMRC permissible levels for, and relative toxicity (to fluoride) of, lead and arsenic

39 tendency to cling to sludge in pipes

39 1.7, 1.8 and 2.6 ppm found in Melton, Victoria

40-2 statement by Prof N Martin, U of Sydney, on optimal fluoride intake

43 Victorian Committee of Inquiry dismisses air pollution in one paragraph

44 urinary output in aluminium works

44 occupational exposure "probably high enough to constitute a hazard in any case"

44 no accumulation below daily intake of 3 mg—NHMRC

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued;

44 inadequacy of Australian occupational health studies
45 up to three times normal blood fluoride levels in
study at Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 chronic lung disease in four of fourteen workers
at Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 gastric symptoms in twelve of fourteen workers at
Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 acute dyspnoea in most workers at
Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 tear production in most workers at
Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 coughing in most workers at
Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 nausea in most workers at
Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 no radiological examinations in study of workers at
Bell Bay Comalco aluminium smelter, Tasmania
45 minor osteosclerosis not ruled out in
study of workers at Bell Bay Comalco
aluminium smelter, Tasmania
50 NSW Allergy Branch of Australian Medical
Association accepts risk of allergy
50 intolerance denied outright in Colgate-Palmolive and
DHERF propanganda
50 Australian doctors taught there are no adverse effects
from fluoridation, so would not test for
fluoride intolerance
50 symptoms of fluoride intolerance
52 iodine as well?
disastrous results of "iodination"
52 thyrotoxicosis doubled in Tasmania following
"iodination" of flour
52 allergy to iodine

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued;

52 citizenry excluded from decision-making process
53 sectors of industry who stand to
 gain from artificial fluoridation
53 interest of by-product suppliers in fluoridation
53 interest of makers of cariogenic foods in fluoridation
53 fluoride dentifrice manufacturers interest in
 fluoridation
53 Colonial Sugar Refinery interest in Gove Aluminium
54 polluting industries desperate to
 attach social benefits to their operations
54 500,000 tons of industrial fluoride waste is
 spewed into the air each year
54 fluorides have cause more damage to
 livestock than any other air pollutant
 —NSW State Pollution Control Commission
54 damage to Hunter Valley fauna and cattle from
 Alcan Australia smelter
54 damage to bees in Hunter Valley from
 Alcan Australia smelter
54 damage to flowers in Hunter Valley from
 Alcan Australia smelter
54 damage to grapes in Hunter Valley from
 Alcan Australia smelter
54 tank water 1.14 ppm—indicative of heavy
 atmospheric fluoride concentrations near
 Alcan Australia smelter
54 lawsuits for damage to crops and livestock
55 emissions from Alcan smelter at Kurri Kurri, NSW, nine
 times higher than permitted in the United States
55 emissions from ALCDA smelter at Point Henry, Victoria,
 four times higher than permitted US level
55 discrepancies in, and pragmatic
 nature of, permitted levels

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

56 aluminium companies remove to Australia
56 happy coincidence for Greenleaf fertiliser company
56 Australian Fertilizers Ltd
56 ICI Australia Petrochemical Ltd
57 three parts lime, five parts aluminium sulphate, added
 to Melbourne's water for every one part fluoride
57 information about suppliers of above unavailable
58 1.7 tons/day of fluoride wastes dumped into
 Corio Bay, Geelong, by ALCOA
65 diet last of six factors in preventing
 tooth decay, according to Dr Craig
65 growth of toothpaste production in Australia
72 Victorian pro-fluoridation premier's brother's firm
 gets contract for sodium silicofluoride supply
72 industrial governors of DHERF
 industrial donors to, and members of, DHERF
73 honorary membership of DHERF for fluoridation promoters
73 DHERF "not political"
73 Mars Bars fund dental conference—sugar
 not to blame for tooth decay
73 Adelaide U. dental faculty funded by sugar industry
74 food industry nutritionists defend sugar
74 research grants and the structure of science
75 dental research sponsored by tobacco industry
80 prominent Australian opponents of fluoridation
80-1 harangues on credibility of scientific opponents
81 Australian and New Zealand Association for the
 Advancement of Science
81 Australian Dental Association refuses invitation to
 ANZAAS symposium on fluoridation
81 comments by proponents on opponents of fluoridation

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

81 motives of dentists in promoting fluoridation
82 doctors oppose health care for the poor
82 limits of medical establishment
84 unwillingness of dental experts to oppose
sale of cariogenic foods
84-5 Hobart dental convention; "You can eat as many
biscuits and Mars Bars as you like
—so long as you clean your teeth properly"
85 dental profession discourages dental hygiene
86 withholding information as a social anaesthetic
86-7 why opponents are so successful,
according to promoters
87 "the more people involved, the
less the chances of adoption"
87 studies analyze "what is wrong" with opponents
87 Australians learn to resist referenda
87-8 out of twenty referenda on fluoridation in
Australia, only three came out in favour
88 Australian fluoridationists claim debate open
88-9 reluctance of Australian fluoridationists to debate
National Health and Medical Research Council
89 Australian Dental Association try to
stifle ANZAAS symposium on fluoridation
90 Australian Dental Association complain after
Diesendorf radio interview
89-90 Dental Health Education and Research Foundation
90 DHERF seeks "experts in behavioural psychology,
marketing techniques, and promotional advertising to
find more effective methods of public persuasion"
90 campaign "to break down public opposition" in Sydney
90 glossy brochure delivered with the milk in Tasmania
90-1 dentists pessimistic about dietary changes

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

91 victim-blaming
91 lower socio-economic groups more likely to suffer from
dental and other disease
92 theory of "irrationality" of the masses
92 "What the National Health and Medical Research Council
says is pretty much automatically endorsed by
professional associations"
93 the only research necessary in Australia
93 cancer "study" in NSW
93 part of the promotion and defence strategy
93 Australian studies on dental decay unscientific
93 Australian Dental Association's fluoridation
committee pro-fluoridation
94 drop in decay in Brisbane without fluoridation
94 promoters unable to go back on assurances of safety
94 Australian fluoridationists claim that
N American studies were "demonstrations"
95 Australian promoter's explanation of Swedish ban
95 rejection of Diesendorf article by New Doctor
95 Prof. John Polya's staff and equipment taken away
following his voicing his opposition to fluoridation
95 forced resignation of Dr Geoffrey Smith after he draws
attention to high level of dental fluorosis
95-6 pamphlet on medical doubts on fluoridation
described as "devious and evil"
96 intra-professional loyalty over mercury fillings
96-7 Delta Sigma-Delta
97 dental profession split over fluoride supplements
97 promoters differ over fluoride tablets
fluoride tablets in Australia

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

- 97-8 Prof. Martin claims tablet administration during
 last six months of pregnancy beneficial
- 98 past president of Australian Dental Association says
 tablets are suspect, points out their use condemned by
 National Health and Medical Research Council
- 98 breast fed babies "deprived" of fluoride—should be
 given tablets, says promoter
- 98 barrier against fluoride in pregnancy
- 98 bottle-fed infants receive
 150 times more than breast-fed
- 98-99 Prof. Martin and ADA Fluoridation Committee
 member disagree over tablet use for milk drinkers
- 99 MIMS advice on tablets contradicts NHMRC advice
- 99 death of Queensland two-year-old from
 six fluoride tablets
- 99 how much is "too much"?
- 99-105 "advancements" in modern medicine questioned
- 109 Moree Plains Shire Council ignores its public
- 109 Gosford City Council 1984 vote against fluoridation
- 109 Gosford City Council 1975 referendum
- 109 doctor joins anti-fluoride festival float
- 109 destruction by fire of fluoridation plant at Grafton
- 109 two time sabotage of fluoridation plant near
 Burnie, Tasmania
- 109-110 Devonport Council warned that referendum on
 fluoridation would be illegal under Fluoridation Act
- 110 call to repeal section 13 of the Fluoridation Act
- 110 ensuing illegal referendum; 485 for—3260 against
- 110 Devonport councillors threatened with
 charge of conspiracy under the Crimes Act
- 110 polls in Ballarat and Horsham
-

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

- 111-2 conflicting interests of the state in health policy
 - 112-8 state's role in fluoridation
 - 113 upheaval of the view that the individual is sacrosanct
 - 113 variations in capitalist society partly explains why
 Europe has rejected fluoridation
 - 113 compulsory fluoridation in Victoria and Tasmania
 - 113 laws in NSW and Queensland to protect
 fluoridationists from legal action in
 the case of mishaps
 - 113 decision left to local government in NSW and Queensland
 - 113 "right" of state governments to
 fluoridate in S and W Australia
 - 113 NSW 81.1%, Queensland 5.1% fluoridated
 - 113 ploy of lying to councils about impending legislation
 - 114-5 development of Wisconsin state government
 - 115 union action against fluoridation in USA and Australia
 - 115 Bendigo electrical and municipal union members
 demand referendum
 union bans at Ballarat and Mildura
 - 115 union bans in sympathy with local feelings
 - 116 historical incongruity of upward-of-middle-class
 efforts on behalf of the underprivileged
 - 116-7 fluoridation "benefits the poor as a
 group more than the well-to-do"
 - 117 National Health and Medical Research Council
 - 117 Yass fluoridated before 1957 Fluoridation of
 - 118 Water Supplies Act
 - 118 Sydney and Hunter District Water Boards
 divided over fluoridation
 - 118 deletion and misrepresentation of arguments against
 fluoridation in Flynn's 1964 report to NSW government
 - 118-9 deception of NSW government over Exner's views
-

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued:

- 119 1964 Flynn report described by Exner as "the strangest mixture of illogic, speculation, contradiction, misquotation, incompetence and just plain fraud"
- 119 errors and omissions dismissed "in the light of the recent Fluoridation Reports from Britain and the Netherlands"
- 119-120 fluoridation discontinued in Kilburn before Flynn's 1964 report to NSW government
- 120 N American studies cannot be criticised in retrospect—Flynn report to NSW government
- 120 terminology smacks more of propaganda than consistency
- 120-1 fluoride investigator in favour before he left on investigation tour
- 121 NSW government threatens Water Boards with legislation
- 121 Water Board would have been dismissed if it refused to fluoridate
- 121 fluoridation introduced in Australian Capital Territories
- 121 opposition speeches in House of Representatives
- 122 Royal Commission admits possibility of allergy
- 122 Tasmanian Health Department anticipates encouraging caries figures
- 122-3 values of judiciary
- 123 assumption that doctors, dentists and academia have no prejudices
- 123 purpose and terminology of 1979 Victorian government Committee of Inquiry
- 124 only nine of 465 sources quoted by 1979 Victorian government Committee of Inquiry came from Germany, France, Japan and USSR
- 124 selection of evidence enables a scientist to push a scientific argument
- 124 report for premier of W Australia criticises early fluoridation trials
-

Fluoride in Australia. A Case to Answer continued;

133-5

conclusion

National Fluoridation News issues:

XI 2 Mar-Apr 1965

XI 3 May-Jun 1965

XI 5 Sep-Oct 1965

XII 4 Sep-Oct 1966

XIII 1 Jan-Feb 1967

XIII 3 May-Jun 1967

XIV 2 Mar-Apr 1968

XIV 3 May-Jun 1968

XIV 6 Nov-Dec 1968

XVIII 3 Jul-Sep 1972

XXI 2 Apr-Jun 1975

XXIII 1 Jan-Mar 1977

XXIV 1 Jan-Mar 1977

(2)

XXIV 2 Apr-Jun 1978

XXIV 3 Jul-Sep 1978

(2)

XXV 1 Jan-Mar 1979

XXV 2 Apr-Jul 1979

XXV 3 Aug-Oct 1979

XXV 4 Nov-Dec 1979

XXVI 2 Apr-Jun 1980

XXVI 4 Oct-Dec 1980

(Sutton and Scott)

XXVIII 3 Jul-Sep 1982

XXIX 1 Spring 83

(3)